

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,282		07/22/2003	Frederick G. Payne	54593-2	7900	
	23994 7590 09/07/2004			EXAMINER		
	JOSEPH W N	MOTT FROUSS & SALMON	KATCHEVES, BASIL S			
		ASHINGTON STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	11TH FLOOR		3635			
	PHOENIX, A	Z 85004-2385	DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic	- A-4' O	10/625,	282	PAYNE, FREDERICK G.			
Οπιο	ce Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
			atcheves	3635			
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for report of the period fo	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN e may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comment ply specified above is less than thirty (3 ply is specified above, the maximum stath in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no on the communication.  io) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and the will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>22 July 2003</u> .					
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
	is application is in condition accordance with the pract			osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Cla	aims			•			
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)							
Application Pape	rs						
9)☐ The spec	ification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)⊠ The draw	ring(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u>	is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.			
Applicant	may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	nent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to			jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowle  a) All by  1. Ce  2. Ce  3. Ce  ap	edgment is made of a claim  Some * c) None of:  Pertified copies of the priority  Pertified copies of the priority  Priority	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur anal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	Cit-1 (PTO 000)		. 🗖				
1) Notice of Referer 2) Notice of Draftsp 3) Information Discl Paper No(s)/Mail	erson's Patent Drawing Review (Four osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO-948) PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because figures 7 and 8 do not clearly show the invention as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,913,780 to Goergen.

Regarding claim 1, Goergen discloses a method of repelling birds by placing a repelling device (fig. 2: 30) in positions where birds roost (fig. 2: L). Goergen discloses a slick outer surface (fig. 1: 4) mounted at an angle that inhibits the standing of birds.

Regarding claim 2, Goergen discloses the device as being a polyhedron with a plurality of exposed surfaces (fig. 4: F, 30).

Regarding claim 3, Goergen discloses the device as having the shape of a prism (fig. 2: 30).

Regarding claim 4, Goergen discloses the device as having the shape of a pyramid (fig. 1: 3).

Regarding claim 8, Goergen discloses the device as being a sheet (fig. 4: 30) following a slope (fig. 1: 1, 2) of the structure over the roosting zone.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,913,780 to Goergen in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,291,707 to McDonald.

Regarding claim 5, Goergen does not disclose the bird deterrent as having the shape of an inverted "V". McDonald discloses a bird deterrent having an inverted "V" shape (fig. 3: 22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goergen by adding an inverted "V" shape to the bird deterrent, as disclosed by McDonald, in order to better prevent the birds from coming in contact with the flat portions of the deterrent.

Regarding claim 7, Goergen does not disclose the bird deterrent as having a cone shape. McDonald discloses the use of a cone shape for deterring birds (fig. 2: 22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goergen by adding a cone shape to the deterrent, as disclosed by McDonald, in order to better prevent the birds from contacting the potential roosting surface.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,282

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,913,780 to Goergen in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,546,676 to Wiesener et al.

Page 5

Regarding claim 6, Goergen discloses the device as meeting the roosting zone (fig. 2: see device 30 mounted to zone L) and the use of an adhesive (abstract).

However, Goergen does not disclose the adhesive as being made of silicone. Wiesener discloses a bird repelling device secured to a structure through the use of a silicone adhesive (column 3, lines 14-16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goergen by using silicone adhesive, as disclosed by Wiesener, in order to create a weather resistant seal.

Regarding claim 9, Goergen discloses the device as meeting the roosting zone (fig. 2: see device 30 mounted to zone L) and the use of an adhesive (abstract). However, Goergen does not disclose the adhesive as being made of silicone and applied along a periphery. Wiesener discloses a bird repelling device secured to a structure through the use of a silicone adhesive (column 3, lines 14-16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Goergen by using silicone adhesive, as disclosed by Wiesener, along the periphery of the device in order to create a weather resistant seal in order to prevent water from entering the underside of the device similar to the manner of which bath tubs, sinks, etc are caulked along their peripheries.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,282

Art Unit: 3635

#### Conclusion

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to bird deterrents in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 306-0232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (703) 308-0832.

BK

9/1/04

Basil Katcheves

Examiner AU 3635